# Available online at https://jcst.rsu.ac.th

Formerly Rangsit Journal of Arts and Sciences (RJAS)

Journal of Current Science and Technology, July-December 2019 Copyright ©2018-2019, Rangsit University JCST Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 123-130 ISSN 2630-0583 (Print)/ISSN 2630-0656 (Online)

## Ocular pathology of hyperopic patients in University Eye Clinic

Watanee Jenchitr\* and Prasert Padungkiatsakul

Faculty of Optometry, Rangsit University, Patumthani 12000, Thailand

\*Corresponding author; E-mail: watanee.j@rsu.ac.th

Received 22 July 2019; Revised 21 November 2019; Accepted 21 November 2019 Published online 21 December 2019

#### Abstract

Hyperopia can be associated with a variety of ocular pathology as strabismus, amblyopia, primary angleclosure glaucoma, abnormal binocularity, uveal effusion, pseudo papilledema, and non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuritis. No previous study of ocular pathology in hyperopia was published in Thailand. This research aims to gather baseline data and determine the correlation between the degree of hyperopia and the presence of ocular pathology seen using a retrospective study of medical records of patients at the university's eye clinic from January 2015 to December 2017. A total of 4,354 patients were observed with a ratio between men and women of 1,998:2,356 and ages ranging from 1-102 years with a mean age of  $49.9 \pm 20.14$  in men and  $53.32 \pm 18.93$  in women. Of 1,264 hyperopic patients observed, 835 had a spherical equivalent (SE) of +2 Diopters or less (mild hyperopic), 391 had a SE of greater than +2 D but not greater than +5 D (moderate hyperopia), and 38 patients had a SE of greater than +5 D (high hyperopia). Glaucoma and related diseases were the most common ocular pathology (15.75%), followed by posterior vitreous detachment (10.8%). Ocular conditions such as strabismus (1.75%) and amblyopia (1.32%) were also observed. The correlation study showed that primary open-angle glaucoma, primary angle-closure glaucoma, primary angle-closure strabismus, and amblyopia were related to hyperopia as more hyperopic had a higher correlation. In conclusion, hyperopia had many associations with many ocular pathology and conditions in children and adults, and the optometrist should do primary eye care screening during refraction, especially in the elders.

Keywords: amblyopia, angle-closure, glaucoma, hyperopia, ocular pathology, strabismus

#### 1. Introduction

Hyperopia is the most common refractive error in children which can be found with a power of between +2.00 Diopters (D) and +3.50 D. The prevalence 4-9% at the ages of 6-9 months and decreases to 3.6% at the age of one year from emmetropization (Somer, Karabulut, Cinar, Altiparmak, & Unlu, 2014). At the age of 4, a power of between +2.25 to + 5.00 D is found, of which 12% is  $\ge +3.00$  D (Wen et al., 2013). Between the ages of 4.5 - 7, the mean refractive error is +1.75 D (Sandfeld, Welhrauch, Tubaek, & Mortzos, 2018). After the ages of 10-15, hyperopia will become myopia. If there is no eyeglasses correction, the children will have 13 times more strabismus and 6 times less visual acuity when compared to the children without hyperopia (Babinsky & Candy, 2011). Based on the population-based study in Thailand in 2007, the prevalence of hyperopia in all age groups was 3.44% by Epidemiological definition  $(\geq+3,00$  D) and 26.30% by Australian definition (≥+1.00 D) (Jenchitr & Raiyawa, 2011).

The association between hyperopia and the presence of ocular conditions such as strabismus, amblyopia has been proved for young children (Bruce & Santorelli, 2016), with reduced visual functions (distance Visual Acuity - VA), binocularity, near VA, reduced stereo acuity, and differences in convergence-to-accommodation (AC/A) ratio (Candy, Gray, Hohenbary, & Lyon, 2012; Fu et al., 2014). In adults, many ocular pathology were listed such as uveal effusion (Butler, 2004), pseudo-papilledema (Gutteridge, 1981), nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; AION ((Pahor and Gracner, 2008), AION (Katz & Spencer, 1993), angle-closure glaucoma (Pitts & Jay, 1990; Sonmez & Ozcan, 2012; Zhang, Wang, Aung, Jonas, & Wang, 2015), and retinal vein occlusion (Albar, Nowilaty, & Ghazi, 2015). Also, hyperopic patients are shown to have a risk of glaucoma as compared to non-hyperopic patients (Wong, Klein, Klein, Knudtson, & Lee, 2001). Today, prophylactic laser iridotomy was an acceptable procedure and has been frequently performed to prevent acute angleclosure glaucoma (Grodum, Heijl, & Bengtsson, 2001).

## 2. Objectives

This study aims to gather baseline data and determine if there was any correlation between the degree of hyperopia and the presence of ocular pathology as patient outcomes and research.

# 3. Materials and methods

A retrospective descriptive study of hyperopic patients at the University's Eye Clinic between January 2015-December 2017 was performed. The study inclusion criteria were that the patient had completed an eye examination, which included a measurement of visual acuity, a measurement of intraocular pressure by non-contact tonometer. If the intraocular pressure were high, the eye examination would be repeated by an applanation tonometer, auto and manifest ophthalmic refraction, external examination. gonioscopy, fundus examination, and fundus photography. Additional testings such as fundus fluorescein angiography, ultrasonography, optical coherence tomography, and automated perimetry were also performed when indicated as in the case of hyperopic chorioretinal abnormality, glaucoma (disc) suspected, and others. A definite diagnosis was made by glaucoma and retina specialist and pediatric ophthalmologist. Patients with missing or incomplete exam data were excluded from the study. Ocular pathology was tabulated and categorically analyzed by a degree of hyperopia (mild hyperopia is +2 diopters or less), moderate hyperopia (>+2 to +5 diopters), or high hyperopia (more than +5 diopters).



Figure 1 Conceptual framework of hyperopic research

#### 4. Results

A total of 4,354 patients (Figure 1) were observed, including 1,998 men and 2,356 women. Ages of the patients were ranging from 1-102 years with a mean age of  $49.90 \pm 20.14$  in men and  $53.32 \pm 18.93$  in women, as shown in Table 1. Four hundred and eleven (411) patients were not refracted and excluded from the calculations to correlate pathology with hyperopia, and 359 had no refractive error (a group of pseudophakia and post-refractive surgery were also excluded). One thousand five hundred and forty-six (1,546) patients were myopia (39.21%) while 1,264 were hyperopia (32.01%) with the following breakdowns; 835 (66.%) were mild hyperopia (SE +2 diopters or less), 391 (31%) were moderate hyperopia (SE greater than +2.25 but less than +5 diopters), and 38 (3%) were high hyperopia (SE greater than 5 diopters), as shown in Table 2. The ocular pathology of hyperopia and conditions found in the studied group were listed in Table 3, ordering from the most to the least common. Glaucoma and related group were the most common (621 cases), second common was posterior vitreous detachment (426 cases), primary open-angle glaucoma (135 cases), primary open-angle glaucoma suspected (126 cases), primary angle-closure (113 cases), normotension glaucoma (92 cases), ocular hypertension (72 cases). A total of 33 patients had laser peripheral iridotomy, and 10 had a history of glaucoma surgery as shown in Table 3.

For ocular conditions, strabismus (61 cases), amblyopia (52 cases), computer vision syndrome (45 cases), and central serous chorioretinopathy (30 cases) were found. They were common in children and young adults but found less in this study. Ocular diseases in the different age groups were shown in Table 4. Strabismus was common in  $\leq 20$  years old age group while PVD and glaucoma group had a high prevalence in 51-70 years age group. With Pearson's Chi-square test (Table 5), high hyperopia had a risk of strabismus when compared to emmetropia (OR=6.07, 95%CI 1.39-26.47), moderate hyperopia had a risk of amblyopia when compared to emmetropia (OR=11.34, 95% CI 1.47-87.62.), and high hyperopia had a risk of amblyopia when compared to emmetropia (OR=208.83, 95%CI 26.34-1635.77).

For glaucoma, mild hyperopia had a risk of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) when compared to emmetropia (OR=2.50, 95%CI 1.04-6.00), moderate hyperopia had a risk of POAG (OR=4.89, 95%CI 2.01-11.89), and high hyperopia had a risk of POAG when compared to emmetropia (OR=21.01, 95%CI 7.12-62.05). Primary angleclosure glaucoma (PACG) were correlated with mild and moderate hyperopia when compared to (OR=6.99, 95%CI 0.92-52.94, emmetropia OR11.34,95%CI 1.46-87.62). PAC was correlated with moderate hyperopia when compared to (OR=2.25,95%CI emmetropia 1.21-4.20). Glaucoma suspected had conversely correlated with mild and moderate hyperopia (OR=0.45, 95%CI 0.29-0.70, OR=0.37,95% CI 0.21-0.66), as shown in Table 5.

In this study, posterior vitreous detachment, normotension glaucoma, ocular hypertension, laser peripheral iridotomy, central serous chorioretinopathy, and computer vision syndrome were not associated with any hyperopia.

# 5. Discussion

The presence and magnitude of hyperopia among preschool children were associated with higher proportions of amblyopia, strabismus. While, anisometropia and poor stereo acuity were associated too even among non-strabismic, nonamblyopic children (Giordano et al., 2009; Kulp et al., 2016). In this study, minimal strabismus and amblyopia were found in the studied population with the mean age of  $51.77 \pm 19.56$  years. In primary school children, refractive error was the most common type of ocular morbidity (2.36%). Hyperopia (0.84%) was more common than myopia (0.64%) (Sherpa, Panta, & Joshi, 2011). For adults, according to Singapore and Malay Eye Study, with the mean age of  $58 \pm 11$  years, 35.3% of the studied group had hyperopia, 4.6% were diagnosed with glaucoma, and 0.2% had angle-closure glaucoma (Rosman et al., 2012), which was similar to this study regarding the number of hyperopias (32.05%). However, the difference in glaucoma prevalence (POAG, PACG, and NTG) was 8.01% since this study was done in the university's eye clinic, not a population-based.

Due to the mean age of this studied population, which was  $51.77 \pm 19.56$  years, the main causes of visual impairment were refractive error and cataract, which was the same as Taiwanese (Wang et al., 2016) and Indian population (Senjam et al., 2016). There was a hyperopic shift with the mean 5year change in the spherical equivalent refraction of +0.24 to +0.5 D in the 40-to-64-year-old population, and at 65 years, they will develop at least -0.5 D myopic shifts due to nuclear cataract. Therefore, in this study, the refractive error of cataract and postrefractive surgery cases were excluded.

Strabismus individuals had more hyperopia (40%) (Schaal et al., 2018), and increasing strabismus correspond to increasing hyperopia (Bruce & Santorelli, 2016). Children with hyperopia greater than +3.5 D were at increased risk for developing refractive esotropia (Babinsky & Candy, 2011). All of these previous findings were the same as this study since high hyperopia had a risk of strabismus as compared to emmetropia (OR=6.07, 95% CI 1.39-26.47). A study showed an association of hyperopia with concomitant esotropia (Zhu et al., 2015). However, in this study, there were only 5.35% of the  $\leq$ 20-year population, which was inadequate to study concomitant esotropia.

It is known that there is a high prevalence of amblyopia among children with refractive errors. particularly high hyperopia and anisometropia (Rajavi et al., 2015). In students, amblyopia prevalence was 1%, whereas most amblyopic eye (38.9%) are hyperopic with a spherical equivalent of  $\geq$ 3D (Fu et al., 2014), and was the main cause of monocular impaired vision in childhood. However, in this study, only 1.32% of amblyopia was found. Instead, moderate and high hyperopia (SE +2.25->+5.00 D) were found more since the study was done in the university's eve clinic, where consultation from another eve professional was received.

For binocular vision, stereopsis, uncorrected hyperopia of  $\geq 4.0$  D, or hyperopia of  $\geq 3.0$  to  $\leq 6.0$  D were associated with reduced binocular near VA (20/40 or worse) or reduced near stereo acuity (240 seconds of arc or worse) in preschool children (Kulp et al., 2014). In this study, due to the study in adults and senile cases, binocular vision and stereopsis were not routinely recorded. Consequently, no correlation analysis was performed.

For glaucoma, the definition of primary angle-closure is irido-trabecular apposition of >180 degrees (Barkana et al., 2012). For Primary angleclosure diseases, the prevalence in Asian countries generally associates with a shallow anterior chamber, hyperopia, female, shorter axial length, and thick lens. Hyperopia associated with a substantially increased prevalence of PACG. Each 1 D reduction in SE was associated with a 22% decrease in the odds of PACG (Shen et al., 2016). Poor detection rates were probably due to a lack of gonioscopy as a routine part of eye examination of the hyperopic case. Hyperopic patients with narrow angles are at risk for angle-closure and should be carefully monitored (Paciuc, Valasco, & Naranjo, 2000).

For ocular hypertension, in a white population, after controlling for age, gender, and baseline IOP, persons with hyperopia were 40% more likely to have an incident of ocular hypertension than those who were emmetropia at baseline (Wong et al., 2001). In this study, only 2.16 % of ocular hypertension was found, and there was no correlation with hyperopia.

For uveal effusion syndrome, it was reported following the laser in situ keratomileuses (LASIK) for hyperopia (Butler et al., 2004), but it was not found in this study.

For non-arteritis anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION), which is more common in over 50 year age group, but there were reported in young hyperopic patients, from +0.50 to +2.00D. There was a report that the mean refractive error (in spherical equivalents) for the NAION group was +0.26 diopter +/- 2.08 (Katz & Spencer, 1993). The majority of NAION were hyperopia (71,1%). The average degree of hyperopia was +1.86 D (Pahor & Pahor, 2016). In this study, only 2 cases had NAION, a 41-year-old man with mild myopia and a 50-year-old man with mild hyperopia; therefore, it was an inadequate case for correlation study.

## 6. Conclusion

Hyperopia is the most common refractive error in children. After the ages of 10-15, hyperopia will change to myopia. As in this study, hyperopic children had strabismus and amblyopia. So, the optometrist should participate in the Thai government program of school eye health. In the adults and aging population, glaucoma was correlated with hyperopia. As optometrists routinely observe hyperopic and presbyopic cases, they should be aware of glaucoma prevalence, which is a risk of permanent visual impairment and should be carefully monitored.

#### 7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express our sincere appreciation to the Research Institute of Rangsit University for financial support and thanks to Dr. Kittisak Thawnashom from Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Naresuan University, for statistical analysis.

 Table 1 Demography of studied population

Age range (years)	Sex		T-4-1	Natio	T-4-1	
	Male	Female	Total	Thai	Other	Total
1 - 10	42	29	71	30	41	71
11 - 20	109	53	162	114	48	162
21 - 30	299	264	563	453	110	563
31 - 40	231	298	529	385	144	529
41 - 50	261	311	572	365	207	572
51 - 60	333	436	769	518	251	769
61 - 70	402	496	898	676	222	898
More than 70	321	469	790	655	135	790
Total	1,998	2,356	4,354	3,196	1,158	4,354

Table 2	Type	of refra	ctive err	or of stu	idied po	opulation
	21					

	Refractive error								No refractive error			
Age range (years)	M1	M2	M2 M3 H1 H2 H3 Astig matism*	Pseudo Phakia*	Post refractive surgery***	Emme tropia	record****					
1-10	11	8	4	13	2	1	11	0	0	9	8	
11 - 20	39	26	12	7	4	1	10	0	0	14	45	
21 - 30	172	78	40	21	7	4	32	1	32	74	1	
31 - 40	184	63	46	26	3	4	33	1	8	73	70	
41 - 50	127	56	37	115	22	7	45	1	3	84	0	
51 - 60	115	61	51	237	74	9	62	7	1	48	86	
61 - 70	134	75	33	236	149	4	92	15	0	31	96	
More than 70	135	26	13	180	130	8	113	21	1	26	105	
Total	917	393	236	835	391	38	398	46	45	359	411	

\*Astigmatism could be found as congenital, developmental, pseudophakia or post-refractive surgery \*\*Some pseudophakia could be emmetrope before cataract operation but were excluded in this study

\*\*\*Some case of post refractive surgery may have refractive error or astigmatism

\*\*\*\*No record means some cataract cases can cause myopic shift or some cases came for special investigation only eg. endothelial cell count, contrast sensitivity function etc

Ocular diseases	M1	M2	M3	H1	H2	H3	Astig matism	Total	% of RE
PVD	101	67	58	110	53	1	36	426	13.28
POAG	34	12	14	34	30	10	1	135	4.21
POAGS	27	20	4	40	15	1	19	126	3.93
PAC	13	6	2	42	35	2	13	113	3.52
NTG	25	7	3	31	18	0	8	92	2.87
OHT	28	15	3	16	6	1	3	72	2.24
Strabismus	14	7	5	18	6	3	8	61	1.90
Amblyopia	2	5	6	10	12	14	3	52	1.62
CVS	17	7	0	16	4	1	-	45	1.40
PACG	6	4	2	16	12	0	0	40	1.25
CSC	8	2	5	13	6	0	-	34	1.06
LPI	6	3	1	10	9	1	3	33	1.03
Glaucoma surgery	3	1	0	3	2	0	1	10	0.31

#### Table 3 Ocular diseases and refractive error\*

\* For Table 3

M1 - 0.50-3.00 D

H3 >+5. 00 D

POAG- Primary open angle glaucoma PAC -

Primary angle closure Normotension glaucoma NTG -

Laser peripheral iridotomy LPI-

CVS-Computor vision syndrome

M2 -3.25-6.00 D M3 >-6.00 D H1 +0.50-+2.00 D H2 +2.25-+5.00 D Astigmatism ±1.00 D PVD- Posterior vitreous detachment

POAGS - Primary open angle glaucoma suspected

PACG - Primary angle closure glaucoma

OHT - Ocular hypertension

CSC - Central serous chorioretinopathy

Table 4 Ocular diseases	in different age group*
-------------------------	-------------------------

									% of
Ocular diseases				Age range	(years)			Total	pop.
	≤20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	> 70		sample
PVD	-	20	40	55	144	128	39	426	9.78
POAG	-	3	5	15	23	38	51	135	3.10
POAGS	-	10	18	21	29	34	14	126	2.89
PAC	-	1	2	18	27	42	23	113	2.60
NTG	-	1	3	4	16	29	39	92	2.11
OHT	-	8	5	17	19	18	5	72	1.65
Strabismus	25	11	8	5	5	4	3	61	1.40
Amblyopia	10	11	3	10	11	5	2	52	1.19

### JENCHITR & PADUNGKIATSAKUL JCST Vol. 9 No. 2 Jul.-Dec. 2019, pp. 123-130

CVS	10	13	11	8	1	2	-	45	1.03
PACG	-	0	0	3	7	14	16	40	0.92
CSC	-	6	10	8	8	2	-	34	0.78
LPI	-	-	2	5	10	12	4	33	0.76
Glaucoma surgery	-	-	1	3	2	2	2	10	0.23
* For Table 4									
M1 - 0.50-3.00 D	M2 -3.25-6.0	0 D M	13 >-6.00 D I	H1 +0.50-+2	2.00 D H2 +	-2.25-+5.00 D			
Glaucoma surgery * For Table 4 M1 - 0.50-3.00 D	- M2 -3.25-6.0	- 0 D M	1 3>-6.00 D I	3 H1 +0.50-+2	2 2.00 D H2 +	2 -2.25-+5.00 D	2	10	0.23

H3 >+5.	00 D Astigmatism ±1.00 D	PVD-Pc	sterior vitreous detachment
POAG-	Primary open angle glaucoma	POAGS	- Primary open angle glaucoma suspected
PAC -	Primary angle closure	PACG -	Primary angle closure glaucoma
NTG -	Normotension glaucoma		
LPI-	Laser peripheral iridotomy	OHT -	Ocular hypertension
CVS-	Computor vision syndrome	CSC -	Central serous chorioretinopathy

Table 5 Ocular pathology and correlation with hyperopia

Ocular pathology	Hyperopia	Odds ratio	95%CI	P-value	Significance
Strabismus	High hyperopia	6.07	1.39-26.47	0.030 <sup>b</sup>	Sig
Amblyopia	Moderate hyperopia	11.34	1.47-87.62	0.003 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
	High hyperopia	208.83	26.34-1655.77	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	Sig
Primary open	Mild hyperopia	2.50	1.04-6.00	0.034 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
angle glaucoma	Moderate hyperopa	4.89	2.01-11.89	0.000 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
	High hyperopia	21.01	7.12-62.05	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	Sig
Primary angle	Mild hyperopia	6.99	0.92-52.94	0.028 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
closure glaucoma	Moderate hyperopia	11.34	1.46-87.62	0.003 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
Glaucoma	Mild hyperopia	0.45	0.29-0.70	0.000 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
suspected	Moderate hyperopia	0.37	0.21-0.66	0.000 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
Primary angle	Moderate hyperopia	2.25	1.21-4.20	0.008 <sup>a</sup>	Sig
closure	•••				-

An odds ratio of more than 1 means that hyperopia had a high risk for ocular pathology

An odds ratio of less than 1 means that hyperopia had a low risk for ocular pathology or less correlation

<sup>a</sup> Based on Chi-square test, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant

<sup>b</sup> Based on Fisher's exact test, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant

# 8. References

- Albar, A. A., Nowilaty, S. R., & Ghazi, N. G. (2015). Nanophthalmos and hemiretinal vein occlusion: A case report. *Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology*, 29(1), 89-91. DOI: 10.1016/j.sjopt.2014.11.005
- Babinsky, E., & Candy, TR. (2011). Why do only some hyperopes become strabismic ? *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science, 54*(7), 4941-4955. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.12-10670
- Barkana, Y., Dekel, I., Goldich, Y., Morad, Y., Avni, I., & Zadok, D. (2012). Angle closure in Caucasians - a pilot general ophthalmology clinic-based study. *Journal of glaucoma*, 21(5), 337-341.
  DOI: 10.1097/IJG.0b013e31820d7e89
- Bruce, A., & Santorelli, G. (2016). Prevalence and risk factors of strabismus in the UK multiethnic birth cohort. *Strabismus*, *24*(4),

153-160. DOI:

10.1080/09273972.2016.1242639

- Butler, T. K., Sutton, G., Moshegov, C., & McKay, D. L. (2004). Uveal effusion following laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) for hypermetropia. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, 137(4), 763-765. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajo.2003.09.054
- Candy, T. R., Gray, K. H., Hohenbary, C. C., & Lyon, D. W. (2012). The accommodative lag of the young hyperopic patient. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*, 53(1), 143-149. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.11-8174
- Fu, J., Li, S. M., Li, S. Y., Li, J. L., Li, H., & Zhu, B. D. (2014). Prevalence, cause and associations of amblyopia in year 1 students in Cental China. The Anyang childhood eye study (ACES). Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental

JCST Vol. 9 No. 2 Jul.-Dec. 2019, pp. 123-130 ISSN 2630-0583 (Print)/ISSN 2630-0656 (Online)

*ophthalmology*, 252(1), 137-143. DOI: 10.1007/s00417-013-2451-z.

- Giordano, L., Friedman, D. S., Repka, MX., Katz, J., Ibironke, J., Hawes, P., & Tielsch, J.
  M. (2009). Prevalence of refractive error among preschool children in an urban population: the Baltimore Pediatric Eye Disease Study. *Ophthalmology*, *116*(4), 739-746. DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2008.12.030
- Grodum, K., Heijl, A., & Bengtsson, B. (2001). Refractive error and glaucoma. *Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica*, 79(6), 560-566. DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-0420.2001.790603.x
- Gutteridge, I. F. (1981). Optic nerve drusen and pseudopapilledem. American journal of optometry and physiological optics, 58(8), 671-676.
- Jenchitr, W., & Raiyawa, S. (2011). Refractive error: the major visual impairment in Thailand. *Rangsit Journal of Arts and Sciences*, 2(2), 133-141. DOI: 10.14456/rjas.2012.13
- Katz, B., & Spencer, W. H. (1993). Hyperopia as a risk factor for nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *American journal of ophthalmology*, *116*(6), 754-758. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9394(14)73477-4
- Kulp, M. T., Ying, G. S., Huang, J., Huang, J., Maguire, M., Quinn, G., et al. (2014). VIP Study Group Associations between hyperopia and other vision and refractive error characteristics. *Optometry and* vision science, 91(4), 363-389. DOI: 10.1097/OPX.0000000000223
- Kulp, M. T., Ciner, E., Maguire, M., Moore, B., Pentimonti, J., Pistill, M., . . . Ying, G.
  S. (2016). Uncorrected hyperopia and preschool early literacy: Results of the vision in preschoolers-hyperopia in preschoolers (VIP-HIP) study. *Ophthalmology*, 123(4), 681-689. DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.11.023
- Paciuc, M., Valasco, C. F., & Naranjo, R. (2000). Acute angle-closure glaucoma after hyperopic laser in situ. *Journal of cataract and refractive surgery*, 26, 620-

623. DOI: 10.1016/s0886-3350(99)00415-0

- Pahor, A., & Pahor, D. (2016). Clinical finding in patients with non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) under 50 years of age. *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde*, 233(1), 66-71. DOI: 10.1055/s-0041-104773
- Pitts, J. F., & Jay, J. L. (1990). The association of Fuch's corneal endothelial dystrophy with axial hypermetropia, shallow anterior chamber, and angle closure glaucoma. *The British journal of ophthalmology*, 74(10), 601-604. OI: 10.1136/bjo.74.10.601
- Rajavi, Z., Sabbaghi, H., Baghini, A. S., Yaseri, M., Moein, H., Akbarian, S., . . .
  Sheibani, K. (2015). Prevalence of amblyopia and refractive errors among primary school children. J Ophthalmo Vis Res Res, 10(4), 408-416. DOI: 10.4103/2008-322X.176909
- Rosman, M., Zheng, Y., Lamoreux, E., Saw, S. M., Aung, T., Tay, W. T., Wong, . . . T. Y. (2012). Review of key findings from the Singapore Malay Eye Study (SiMEs-1). Singapore medical journal, 53(2), 82-87.
- Sandfeld, L., Welhrauch, H, Tubaek, G., & Mortzos, P. (2018). Ophthlmological data on 4.5-to 7-year old Danish children. *Acta ophthalmologica*, *96*(4), 379-383. DOI: 10.1111/aos.13650
- Schaal, L. F., Scellini, S. A., Pesci, L. T., Galindo, A., Padovani, C. R., & Corrente, J. E. (2018). The prevalence of strabismus and associated risk factors in a Southeastern region of Brazil. *Seminars in ophthalmology*, *33*(3), 357-360.
- Senjam, S., Vashist, P., Gupta, N., Malhotran, S., Misra, V., & Bhardwaj, A. (2016).
  Prevalence of visual impairment due to uncorrected refractive error: Results from Delhi-Rapid Assessment of Visual Impairment Study. *Indian journal of ophthalmology*, *64*(5), 387-389. DOI: 10.4103/0301-4738.185614
- Shen, L., Melles, R. B., Metlapally, R., Barcellos, L., Schaefer, C., Risch, N., . . . Jorgenson, E. (2016). The association of refractive error with glaucoma in a

#### JENCHITR & PADUNGKIATSAKUL JCST Vol. 9 No. 2 Jul.-Dec. 2019, pp. 123-130

multiethnic population. *Ophthamology*, *123*(1), 92-101. DOI 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.07.002

- Sherpa, D., Panta, C. R., & Joshi, N. (2011). Ocular morbidity among primary school chil.dren in *Nepalese journal of ophthalmology*, 3(2), 172-176. DOI: 10.3126/nepjoph.v3i2.5272
- Somer, D., Karabulut, E., Cinar, F. G., Altiparmak, U. E., & Unlu, N. (2014). Emmetropization, visual acuity, and strabismus outcomes among hyperopic infants followed with partial hyperopic corrections given in accordance with dynamic retinoscopy. *Eye (London)*, 28(10), 1165-1173.
- Sonmez, K., & Ozcan, P. Y. (2012). Angle-closure glaucoma in a patient with the nanophthalmos ocular cystinosis foveoschisis-pigmentary retinal dystrophy complex. *BMC ophthalmology*, *12*(23), 12-23. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2415-12-23
- Wang, W. L., Chen, N., Sheu, M. M., Wang, J. H., Hsu, W. L., & Hu, Y. J. (2016). The prevalence and risk factors of visual impairment among the elderly in Eastern Taiwan. *The Kaohsiung journal of*

*medical sciences, 32*(9), 475-481. DOI: 10.1016/j.kjms.2016.07.009

- Wen, G., Tarczy-Hornoch, K., McKean-Cowdin, R., Cotter, S. A., Borchert, M., Lin, J., & Kim, J. (2013).Prevalence of myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism in non-Hispanic white and Asian children: multi-ethnic pediatric eye disease study. *Ophthalmology*, *120*(10), 2109-2116. DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.06.039
- Wong, T. Y., Klein, B. E., Klein, R., Knudtson, M., & Lee, K. E. (2001). Refractive errors, intraocular pressure, and glaucoma in a white population, *Ophthalmology*, *110*(1):211-217. DOI: 10.1016/s0161-6420(02)01260-5
- Zhang, X., Wang, W., Aung, T., Jonas, J. B., & Wang, N. (2015). Choroidal physiology and primary angle closure disease. *Survey* of Ophthalmology, 60(6), 547-556. DOI: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2015.06.005
- Zhu, H., Yu, J. J., Yu, R. B., Ding, H., Bai, J., Chen, H., & Liu, H. (2015). Association between childhood strabismus and refractive error in Chinese preschool children. *PloS One.10*(3): e0120720. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120720